

Message

From: Suchomel, Bruce [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=5D10AB19633E41ACAFF3030CB7BC68A5-SUCHOMEL, BRUCE]
Sent: 6/14/2017 9:17:55 PM
To: Bruce Suchomel [Suchomel.Bruce@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: Organizing Dewey-Burdock's Public Comments
Attachments: PWS EP-C-15-022 WA 2-94 June 2017 mrb.docx

From: Suchomel, Bruce
Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2017 10:17 AM
To: Shea, Valois <Shea.Valois@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Organizing Dewey-Burdock's Public Comments

Hi Valois, attached are comments from Molly Bayer. Let me know whether you concur, and if you'd like to stick with some of what we have. I have a couple of questions that I'd like to address with Molly, but will wait for your response. Thanks.

Bruce

From: Bayer, MaryRose
Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2017 9:21 AM
To: Suchomel, Bruce <Suchomel.Bruce@epa.gov>
Cc: Green, Holly <Green.Holly@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Organizing Dewey-Burdock's Public Comments

Bruce,
Thanks for sending these documents along. I focused on the PWS (attached) and the IGCE.

My overarching comments:

- PWS: See my comments/edits in the attached. I considered what the PO and CO would ask/require to be edited. However, I think there might still be questions from them after you address/incorporate some of my comments. If you can add more on the deliverables that you expect, that would strengthen the document. I've added some suggested text -feel free to augment.
- IGCE:
 - You may need more P1 and P2 hours to get this volume of work done in the time you suggest (i.e., July and August). As written, you essentially have one P1 and one P2 for 4.5 weeks (or two, each for 2.25 weeks). If you want the work done quickly, you may need multiple P1's and P2's -which can be reflected by increasing the number of hours under those P-levels. Note: I also suggest taking "August 31st" out of the PWS. See my PWS comments.
 - You need some P4 hours for QA/QC. You could probably do 40 hours.
 - You request two bound copies of the deliverables. I question whether or not this will be that useful to you (see PWS). If you do need them, you need to account for printing, binding and shipping in the IGCE.
- I don't know that you can (or need to) provide the comment topic list with the WA package. Nancy could tell you. I never have provided this with a WA package. It is probably enough to say that R8 has predetermined the categories and will give those to the Contractor.
- I didn't have any comments on the CBI or the Immediate start memos. For the correct boxes on the CBI memo, I think I manually checked them off and don't have a copy handy from my recent WA package. Nancy can help with this.
- I would encourage you to do an LOE memo (that was in the mix Holly passed along).

Feel free to be in touch if you have questions.

Regards,
Molly

Mary Rose Bayer
Geologist, UIC GS Team Leader
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Ground Water & Drinking Water: Prevention Branch
Phone: (202) 564-1981

"ONE EPA. One Great Place to Work..."

From: Suchomel, Bruce
Sent: Tuesday, June 13, 2017 11:14 AM
To: Bayer, MaryRose <Bayer.MaryRose@epa.gov>
Subject: Organizing Dewey-Burdock's Public Comments

Hello Molly,

I've put together drafts of the documents you forwarded to our office (thru Holly) and attached them. Since you've had experience with a similar project I'd like to get your input on what I have put together. I also sent the QA forms (not included here) to our Regional QA team for their review.

I attached the following:
PWS for our Work Assignment
Comment Topic List – this goes with our WA as one of several items that's mentioned that we will provide to Cadmus
CBI Review
Immediate Start Memo
IGCE
COR Appt Memo (signed)
CPOD WA Review Checklist

If you have some time I would like to know your thoughts and whether you have any comments, plus next steps.
Thanks!

Bruce Suchomel
Environmental Engineer/COR, UIC Program
USEPA Region 8 (8WP-SUI)
1595 Wynkoop St.
Denver, CO 80202-1129
303-312-6001